1	STATE OF IOWA	
2	BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL EXAMINERS	
3	Grimes State Office Building - 400 East 14th Street	
4	Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0147	
5		
6	Minutes	
7 8	April 11, 2003	
9	T. 11 C. C	
10 11	Table of Contents	
12		
13		_
14 15		<u>Page</u>
16	Motions	2
17		_
18 19	Meeting Called to Order	6
20	Licensee Discipline	6
21		0
22 23	Consent Agenda	8
24	Communication	9
25	Election of Chair	12
26 27	Election of Chair	12
28	Criteria to Move from Initial to Standard License	12
29 30	Evaluator License	13
31	Evaluator License	13
32	Denial or Revocation of a License upon Proof of Conviction	
33 34	of Certain Delineated Criminal Offenses - Adopt	13
35		
36	Paraeducator Certificates – Addition of Library/Media Area	13
37 38	of Concentration and Clarification of Renewal Requirements	
39	Continued Discussion of Possible Administrative Licensure Changes	14
40		
41	Adjournment	15

1	STATE OF IOWA	
2	BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL EXAMINERS	
3	Grimes State Office Building - 400 East 14th Street	
4	Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0147	
5		
6	Motions	
7	Annil 11 9009	
8 9	April 11, 2003	
10		
11	Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that the Board go	
12	into closed session for the purposes of discussing whether to initiate licensee	
13	disciplinary proceedings and discussing the decision to be rendered in a	
14	contested case, pursuant to Iowa Code sections 21.5(1)(d) and 21.5(1)(f).	
15	Roll call vote: Haigh – yes; Stalker – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Chen – yes;	
16	Aboud – yes; Seeland – yes; Carter – yes; Lewis – yes; Paulsen – yes; and	
17	Hathaway – yes. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.	
18		
19	William Haigh moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in combined	
20	case numbers 02-02, Phillip and Martha Good v. Teresa Blanchard, and	
21	02-03 , James Blietz and Glenn Grove – Loess Hills AEA 13 v. Teresa Blanchard	
22	the Board accept the proposed decision with the following modifications:	
23	1) On page 8, revise the last sentence of the first paragraph of the	
24	ORDER section, and insert "Under the circumstances, the Board	
25	should suspend her license for a minimum of two years."	
26 27	2) On page 8, strike the second paragraph of the Order section, and	
28	replace it with the following:	
29	replace it with the following.	
30	THE BOARD THEREFORE Orders that the Respondent's teaching	
31	license shall be SUSPENDED with no possibility of reinstatement	
32	for two years from the date of this Order. In order to be eligible for	
33	reinstatement of her license at the conclusion of the period of the	
34	suspension, the Respondent must:	
35		
36	a) attend and successfully complete six credit hours of course	
37	work addressing behavior management and discipline of	
38 39	special education students and the knowledge and understanding of professional ethics for educators;	
27	understanding of professional edities for educators,	

1 2 3	b)	provide the Executive Director of the Board with course titles and course descriptions and obtain pre-approval of the courses; and			
4 5 6	c)	submit evidence of successful course completion to the Board.			
7 8	Proce	edings for reinstatement of the Respondent's license may be			
9	initiated by Respondent in the form of an application for				
10 11	reinstatement, stating facts which, if established, are to be sufficient to prove: (1) that the Respondent has complied with the				
12 13	terms of this Order, and (2) that it would be in the public interest for the Respondent's licenses to be reinstated. The burden of proof shall be on the Respondent, and the Board shall have				
14					
15 16	comp	lete discretion in ruling on the application.			
17	Roll call vote	e: Haigh – yes; Stalker – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud			
18	- yes; Seelar	nd – yes; Carter – yes; Lewis – yes; Paulsen – yes; and Hathaway –			
19	yes. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.				
20					
21	Anita Weste	Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in that in $\underline{\mathbf{case}}$			
22	number 02-22 , the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or				
23	more of the criteria for professional practices and competent performance				
24	established by this Board and order this case set for hearing. Roll call vote:				
25	Paulsen – yes; Lewis – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Aboud – yes; Chen – yes				
26	Westerhaus	– yes; Stalker – yes; Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes. MOTION			
27	CARRIED U	NANIMOUSLY.			
28					
29	William Hai	gh moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in that in case			
30	number 02-	26 , the Board find that, although one or more of the allegations in			
31	the complain	nt may be substantiated by the witnesses interviewed in the course			
32	of the invest	igation and the allegations may constitute a technical violation of			
33	the board's statute or administrative rules, the evidence before the board				
34	indicates th	at the alleged violation was an isolated incident and that adequate			
35	steps have b	steps have been taken to remedy the violation and to ensure that incidents of a			
36	similar natu	re do not occur in the future. Taking into account these			
37	circumstanc	ees, and the Board's mission to act upon those complaints which			
38	involve a thi	reat to the health and safety of students and the public, the Board			

- will not pursue formal disciplinary action in this matter. Roll call vote: Haigh –
- 2 yes; Stalker yes; Westerhaus yes; Chen yes; Aboud yes; Seeland yes;
- 3 Carter yes; Lewis yes; Paulsen yes; and Hathaway yes. **MOTION**
- 4 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 6 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that **case number**
- 7 **02-31** be tabled until the May meeting. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**
- 8 Roll call vote: Haigh yes; Stalker yes; Westerhaus yes; Chen yes; Aboud
- 9 yes; Seeland yes; Carter yes; Lewis yes; Paulsen yes; and Hathaway -
- 10 yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

11

- 12 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that **case number**
- 13 **02-29**, the Board extend the 180-day deadline for issuance of the final decision,
- due to the need for addition time to conduct a hearing, prepare a proposed
- decision, and review the proposed decision by the Board. Roll call vote:
- Paulsen yes; Lewis yes; Carter yes; Seeland yes; Aboud yes; Chen yes;
- 17 Westerhaus yes; Stalker yes; Haigh yes; and Hathaway yes. **MOTION**
- 18 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

19

- John Aboud moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to approve the March 7,
- 21 2003, minutes, as distributed. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

22

- 23 William Haigh moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, to nominate Peter
- 24 Hathaway for the position of Board Chair. No further nominations were made.
- 25 MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

26

- 27 John Aboud moved, with a second by Brian Carter, to table to the May meeting
- 28 the adoption of the proposed changes to Chapter 14, the criteria to move from
- 29 an Initial to a Standard License, because the changes have not yet been made
- 30 in statute. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

- 32 John Aboud moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, to adopt and file the
- proposed changes to Chapters 11 and 12 that deny or revoke a license upon

- proof of conviction of certain delineated criminal offenses. Roll call vote: Haigh
- 2 yes; Stalker yes; Westerhaus yes; Chen yes; Aboud yes; Seeland no;
- 3 Carter yes; Lewis no; Paulsen yes; and Hathaway yes. **MOTION**
- 4 **CARRIED.**

1	STATE OF IOWA		
2	BOARD OF EDUCATIONAL EXAMINERS		
3	Grimes State Office Building - 400 East Grand		
4	Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0147		
5			
6	Minutes		
7	April 11, 2003		
8			
9	The Board of Educational Examiners held its monthly meeting on April 11,		
10	2003. The meeting was called to order by the Board Chair, Peter Hathaway, at		
11	8:37 a.m. Members attending were John Aboud, Brian Carter, Ying Ying Chen,		
12	William Haigh, Peter Hathaway, Helen Lewis, Thomas Paulsen, Jean Seeland,		
13	Veronica Stalker and Anita Westerhaus. Also in attendance were Dr. Anne		
14	Kruse, Executive Director of the Board; Christie Scase, Assistant Attorney		
15	General and legal counsel to the Board; Jeanie Vaudt, Assistant Attorney		
16	General and legal counsel to the Board; Barbara Hendrickson, Board Secretary;		
17	and other visitors. Board Member Judy Jeffrey was unable to attend the		
18	meeting. Ms. Scase and Ms. Vaudt were absent from the afternoon session.		
19			
20	Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that the Board go		
21	into closed session for the purposes of discussing whether to initiate licensee		
22	disciplinary proceedings and discussing the decision to be rendered in a		
23	contested case, pursuant to Iowa Code sections 21.5(1)(d) and 21.5(1)(f). Roll		
24	call vote: Haigh – yes; Stalker – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud –		
25	yes; Seeland – yes; Carter – yes; Lewis – yes; Paulsen – yes; and Hathaway –		
26	yes. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.		
27			
28	The Board reconvened into open session at 9:13 a.m.		
29			
30	William Haigh moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in combined		
31	case numbers 02-02, Phillip and Martha Good v. Teresa Blanchard, and		
32	<u>02-03</u> , James Blietz and Glenn Grove – Loess Hills AEA 13 v. Teresa Blanchard,		
33	the Board accept the proposed decision with the following modifications:		

1 2 3	1)	On page 8, revise the last sentence of the first paragraph of the ORDER section, and insert "Under the circumstances, the Board should suspend her license for a minimum of two years."		
4 5 6	2)	On page 8, strike the second paragraph of the Order section, and replace it with the following:		
7				
8		THE BOARD THEREFORE Orders that the Respondent's teaching		
9		license shall be SUSPENDED with no possibility of reinstatement for two years from the date of this Order. In order to be eligible for		
10 11		reinstatement of her license at the conclusion of the period of the		
12	suspension, the Respondent must:			
13	Su	spension, the respondent mast.		
14	a)	attend and successfully complete six credit hours of course		
15	,	work addressing behavior management and discipline of		
16		special education students and the knowledge and		
17		understanding of professional ethics for educators;		
18				
19	b)	provide the Executive Director of the Board with course titles		
20		and course descriptions and obtain pre-approval of the		
21		courses; and		
22				
23	c)	submit evidence of successful course completion to the Board.		
24	_			
25		oceedings for reinstatement of the Respondent's license may be		
26		tiated by Respondent in the form of an application for		
27		instatement, stating facts which, if established, are to be		
28		fficient to prove: (1) that the Respondent has complied with the		
29		ms of this Order, and (2) that it would be in the public interest		
30		the Respondent's licenses to be reinstated. The burden of		
31	_	oof shall be on the Respondent, and the Board shall have		
32	CO	mplete discretion in ruling on the application.		
33				
34		vote: Haigh – yes; Stalker – yes; Westerhaus – yes; Chen – yes; Aboud		
35	- yes; Sec	eland – yes; Carter – yes; Lewis – yes; Paulsen – yes; and Hathaway –		
36	yes. MO	ΓΙΟΝ CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.		
37				
38	Anita We	sterhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that in that in case		
39	<u>number</u>	02-22 , the Board find probable cause to establish a violation of one or		
40	more of the criteria for professional practices and competent performance			
41	establish	established by this Board and order this case set for hearing. Roll call vote:		
42	Paulsen – yes; Lewis – yes; Carter – yes; Seeland – yes; Aboud – yes; Chen – yes			
43	Westerhaus – yes; Stalker – yes; Haigh – yes; and Hathaway – yes. MOTION			

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- William Haigh moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, that in that in **case**
- 2 **number 02-26**, the Board find that, although one or more of the allegations in
- 3 the complaint may be substantiated by the witnesses interviewed in the course
- 4 of the investigation and the allegations may constitute a technical violation of
- 5 the board's statute or administrative rules, the evidence before the board
- 6 indicates that the alleged violation was an isolated incident and that adequate
- 7 steps have been taken to remedy the violation and to ensure that incidents of a
- 8 similar nature do not occur in the future. Taking into account these
- 9 circumstances, and the Board's mission to act upon those complaints which
- involve a threat to the health and safety of students and the public, the Board
- 11 will not pursue formal disciplinary action in this matter. Roll call vote: Haigh –
- 12 yes; Stalker yes; Westerhaus yes; Chen yes; Aboud yes; Seeland yes;
- 13 Carter yes; Lewis yes; Paulsen yes; and Hathaway yes. **MOTION**
- 14 **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**
- Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that **case number**
- 17 **02-31** be tabled until the May meeting. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**
- 18 Roll call vote: Haigh yes; Stalker yes; Westerhaus yes; Chen yes; Aboud
- 19 yes; Seeland yes; Carter yes; Lewis yes; Paulsen yes; and Hathaway -
- 20 yes. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**
- 21

- 22 Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by William Haigh, that **case number**
- 23 **02-29**, the Board extend the 180-day deadline for issuance of the final decision,
- due to the need for addition time to conduct a hearing, prepare a proposed
- decision, and review the proposed decision by the Board. Roll call vote:
- 26 Paulsen yes; Lewis yes; Carter yes; Seeland yes; Aboud yes; Chen yes;
- 27 Westerhaus yes; Stalker yes; Haigh yes; and Hathaway yes. **MOTION**
- 28 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
- 29
- John Aboud moved, with a second by Jean Seeland, to approve the March 7,
- 31 2003, minutes, as distributed. **MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

- 1 The Board looked at a handout summarizing a visit Board Member Anita
- 2 Westerhaus had with Vicky Brantley, Director, Educator Ethics for the state of
- 3 Georgia. Ms. Westerhaus highlighted a few of the points that were of interest to
- 4 the Board and some that related to her current research on professional
- 5 practices and ethical standards. She also made available a brochure of the
- 6 Georgia code of ethics and her draft of a comparable document from the Iowa
- 7 Administrative Code.

- 9 Board Member Jean Seeland complimented Licensure Consultant Jane Heinsen
- on a student teacher seminar she had conducted in February in Waterloo.
- 11 Ms. Seeland also knew directly of someone who had gone through the new
- substitute authorization program, and who found the training to be very good
- preparation and was now enjoying substitute teaching.

14

- 15 Board Member Helen Lewis gave a last report as the community college
- representative, with particular reference to the quality faculty development
- plans. She also said that a hearing is scheduled on the second set of rules to
- 18 emerge from the Department of Education, with the hope that more defined
- 19 language will remedy earlier vagueness.

20

- 21 Board Member John Aboud commented that the elimination of community
- 22 college teacher licensure presented a situation for some high school teachers,
- 23 who no longer will be authorized to teach college-level classes.

24

- 25 Board Member Ying Ying Chen complimented staff on the turnaround time for
- 26 receipt of her new license.

27

- 28 There was no public comment other than that of Angie King of ISEA, who also
- 29 thanked staff for receipt of her license.

- 31 Board Chair Peter Hathaway acknowledged Helen Lewis' four years of service on
- 32 the Board and her departure due to the statutory elimination of the community
- 33 college representative's position. He praised her commitment to attending

- meetings by sometimes traveling from distant locales, her post as grammarian,
- 2 and her role as conscience through asking questions for clarification so that
- 3 motions were absolutely clear. He mentioned a letter of appreciation sent
- 4 earlier from the Governor, and he then presented a second, personal letter of
- 5 appreciation from the Governor and a certificate of appreciation from the Board.
- 6 Ms. Lewis responded that it proved to be a great honor to be appointed to the
- 7 Board and that Mr. Hathaway's comments affirmed her in what she had striven
- 8 to accomplish. She wished all educators could attend a board meeting at least
- 9 once in their career in order to understand the need for particular
- administrative rules and to comprehend the process and procedures followed in
- upholding the standards. She expressed her admiration and appreciation for
- the leadership skills which Mr. Hathaway, Executive Director Dr. Anne Kruse,
- and Assistant Attorney General and legal counsel to the Board Christie Scase
- brought to the Board meetings.
- 16 Board Member Brian Carter then sought clarification of Board membership.
- 17 Since proposed legislation to add to the Board a representative from a teacher
- 18 preparation institution did not pass, the Governor appointed another
- 19 representative from one of the remaining classes of personnel listed in statute.
- 21 Executive Director Dr. Anne Kruse reported briefly on a number of issues:
- 23 1) This was the second funnel week to get legislation out of committee.
- 24 2) Staff has been looking at and meeting with other professional organizations
- 25 to consider revision of some endorsements, and recommendations are
- welcome.

20

- 27 3) Dr. Kruse met with members of the Iowa Association of Colleges for Teacher
- Education (IACTE) and covered matters of concern. Those individuals are
- still interested in having a representative from a teacher preparation
- 30 program sit on the Board. Despite six institutions initially expressing
- interest in establishing a teacher intern program, no course of study yet
- exists. Dr. Kruse answered affirmatively when Board Member Jean
- 33 Seeland's asked if colleges' financial obligations were the cause. Dr. Kruse

1 then said she will not, therefore, pursue the federal Transition to Teaching 2 Grant application due in June because there are no programs to list. Praxis testing was interrupted due to large numbers taking the test sooner 3 than expected; in the past two years, 5400 have taken the test. Despite the 4 legislature's desire for institutional rankings with respect to test scores, 5 college officials are unwilling to make comparisons among themselves. 6 7 Multiple measures are the best indicators for assessment, and student teaching is the best evaluation system, not a single test on a single day. 9 Board Chair Peter Hathaway added that Iowa students performed so well 10 on the first Praxis test, compared with students nationally, that the test was not a discriminating instrument for Iowa purposes. Board Member 11 Jean Seeland proposed testimony from student teachers as an additional 12 resource for input to the legislature, and Dr. Kruse suggested direct 13 14 contact with legislators over the summer. Lastly, the legislative bill to extend the Praxis report's due date by 45 days will probably pass, enabling 15 16 Dr. Kruse to have sufficient time to prepare the final report, following receipt of scores and feedback from both boards. 17 18 19 Assistant Attorney General and legal counsel to the Board Christie Scase 20 addressed the district court's ruling on Michael Whittlesey's Petition for Judicial Review, which upheld the decision of the Board. Mr. Whittlesey does have the 21 22 option of appealing the lower court's decision to the Iowa Supreme Court. She 23 said that at each level of review the odds of reversal become less. Dr. Kruse commended Ms. Scase for her role in the case. 24 25 Dr. Anne Kruse summarized legislative news. The virtual academy bill now 26 27 includes areas dealing with distance delivery, telecommunications and 28 technology. Anyone teaching distance learning will need a teaching license. Pamela Pfitzenmaier of IPTV has designed a course that helps people teach over 29 the web, coursework that is planned to be a requirement. A state 30 31 representative has proposed an amendment calling for the Board to create a technology endorsement for distance learning that includes ICN, any 32 telecommunications, and web-based material. Historically, the Board has not 33

- 1 had a computer or technology endorsement because the field changes so
- 2 quickly. Board meeting participants voiced concern over the drawbacks of such
- 3 a mandate.

- 5 The bill making sexual exploitation of a student by a school employee a crime,
- 6 notifying the Board of an interrupted contract, and checking the background of
- veteran teachers did not make it out of committee, but all or portions of it may
- 8 yet survive.

9

- 10 The commission for musical instruments bill did not make it out of committee.
- Dr. Kruse related, however, that she had assured concerned legislators that
- such activity violated Board rules. Board Member John Aboud said he had
- spoken with staff in the three largest music stores in Iowa, and they were
- 14 unaware of such activity.

15

- 16 The Department of Education bill cleaning up license terminology and allowing
- 17 superintendents to be high school principals is still alive.

18

19 The Board recessed from 10:19 a.m. to 10:34 a.m.

20

- 21 Statutorily, the Director of the Department of Education is the Chair of the
- 22 Board. In his absence the Board is empowered to elect biennially a member to
- 23 serve in the position which most recently has been referred to as the Vice-
- 24 Chair. Executive Director Dr. Anne Kruse stated that no reason can be found
- 25 to use the word "Vice," and election for Chair of the Board took place next.
- William Haigh moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, to nominate Peter
- 27 Hathaway for the position of Board Chair. No further nominations were made.
- 28 MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- 30 John Aboud moved, with a second by Brian Carter, to table to the May meeting
- 31 the adoption of the proposed changes to Chapter 14, the criteria to move from
- an Initial to a Standard License, because the changes have not yet been made
- in statute. Assistant Attorney General and legal counsel to the Board

- 1 Christie Scase had advised against filing for adoption rules that are 2 inconsistent with current law. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. 3 Although statute rescinded the Evaluator License effective July 1, 2003, staff 4 sees a continued need for those possessing a teacher's license, an 5 administrator's license, or a permanent professional license to add an evaluator 6 7 license or endorsement to their license. Additionally, the coursework may be used to satisfy renewal requirements for the teacher-evaluator license, as well 8 9 as the administrator license. In response to a question from Board Member 10 Jean Seeland, it was clarified that persons holding a one-year conditional 11 administrative license, who have not had evaluator training, may not evaluate individuals in their building. Anita Westerhaus moved, with a second by Jean 12 13 Seeland, to table to the May meeting action on the proposed changes to Chapter 14 20 on the evaluator license and endorsement, because renewal requirements have not yet been set by the Department of Education, as noted by absent 15 Board Member Judy Jeffrey via written communication. MOTION CARRIED 16 UNANIMOUSLY. 17 18 19 The Board once again considered proposed rules to deny or revoke a license upon proof of conviction of certain delineated criminal offenses, implementing 20 21 Senate File 2258. Assistant Attorney General and legal counsel to the Board 22 Christie Scase had made some non-substantive revisions in some sections of 23 the noticed rules in accordance with board members' wishes from the March meeting, particularly with regard to language about a deferred judgment. John 24 25 Aboud moved, with a second by Anita Westerhaus, to adopt and file the proposed changes to Chapters 11 and 12 that deny or revoke a license upon 26 proof of conviction of certain delineated criminal offenses. Roll call vote: Haigh 27 - yes; Stalker - yes; Westerhaus - yes; Chen - yes; Aboud - yes; Seeland - no; 28 Carter – yes; Lewis – no; Paulsen – yes; and Hathaway – yes. MOTION 29
- Licensure Consultant Geri McMahon presented for the Board's consideration a proposal to add a School Library Media area of concentration to the

CARRIED.

- paraeducator certificate, with the objective of improving the quality of services
- 2 in school libraries for students and staffs. Proposed rules, in addition, clarified
- 3 the paraeducator renewal requirements. Although the paraeducator certificate
- 4 is currently voluntary, through its administrative rules, Board Chair Peter
- 5 Hathaway said, the Board is giving institutions some indication of the
- 6 competencies that the Board would like to see them use when they prepare
- staff. Under No Child Left Behind, moreover, requirements for paraeduators are
- 8 moving in a proscriptive direction. Executive Director Dr. Anne Kruse told the
- 9 Board that there has been extremely positive feedback on the paraeductor
- programs. The rules will be brought back for action at the May meeting.

- 12 Licensure Consultant Susan Fischer presented for the Board's consideration a
- proposal for merging the K-6 and the 7-12 principal requirements into a K-12
- principal endorsement. The requirements at the two levels were combined to
- show a K-12 leveling of curriculum, evaluation and supervision. Planned field
- 16 experiences at the elementary, middle and high school levels were another
- 17 consideration, as was specifying the number of contact hours.

18

The Board recessed for lunch at 11:45 a.m. and reconvened at 12:31 p.m.

19 20

- 21 Discussion continued on the K-12 principal endorsement. Staff was directed to
- 22 address assessment, data collection, student achievement and staff professional
- 23 growth more specifically in the competencies. Teacher preparation institutions
- 24 would be contacted for feedback on a second draft of the rules and indication of
- 25 the number of hours currently required in their respective practicum.

- 27 Upon recommendation of the Leadership Partnership Committee, the Board
- 28 then contemplated modification to the superintendent endorsement so that the
- 29 holder would be authorized to serve as a superintendent, AEA administrator or
- 30 director of special education. Competencies needed for a superintendent and
- an AEA administrator would be similar enough. Those for a director of special
- 32 education, however, would probably need to be more specific, with essential
- competencies so directly related to special education that, in the end, director of

- special education might not be included in this endorsement. Ms. Fischer will
- 2 have feedback on the proposal from field professionals, following a May meeting
- 3 of the directors of special education.

- 5 The Board considered a list of potential topics for discussion at the June board
- 6 retreat, followed by some discussion of recognition for teachers who have
- 7 achieved national board certification.

- 9 There being no further business, Board Chair Peter Hathaway adjourned the
- meeting at 1:35 p.m.